

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 SAN JO 01006 132347Z

63

ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /031 W

----- 088044

P 132305Z MAR 74

FM AMEMBASSY SAN JOSE

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6487

C O N F I D E N T I A L SAN JOSE 1006

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, PGOV, CS

SUBJECT: EMBASSY LEGAL ADVISOR'S VIEWS ON EXTRADITION BILL

REF: SAN JOSE 1002

1. FRANCISCO CASTILLO SAID TODAY THAT THE DRAFT LAW DISCUSSED IN REFERENCED CABLE SHOULD NOT HAVE LEGAL EFFECT ON ANY FUTURE EXTRADITION ATTEMPTS FOR VESCO. HE HAD NOT PREVIOUSLY SEEN THE BILL, BUT EXPRESSED SURPRISE AND ANNOYANCE THAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD SO BLATANTLY MOVE TO PROTECT VESCO. CASTILLO NOTED THAT THE PRECEDENT SET BY THE FINAL DECISION OF THE COSTA RICAN APPEALS COURT IN DECIDING THE VESCO EXTRADITION CASE LAST SUMMER, WAS BASED ON THE EXTRADITION TREATY, NOT THE 1971 LAW, AND SUGGESTS, THEREFORE, THAT ANY NEW LAW MUST BE IGNORED IN A POSSIBLE FUTURE COURT TEST. CASTILLO POINTED OUT, HOWEVER, THAT HE IS NOW CONVINCED THAT HIGH LEVEL POLITICAL PRESSURE WAS BROUGHT AGAINST THE JUDGES WHO DECIDED AGAINST THE U.S. IN ITS CASE AGAINST VESCO. THUS, DESPITE THE PRECEDENT ESTABLISHED THAT THE TREATY PREVAILS, CASTILLO POINTS OUT THAT UNDER THIS BILL A POLITICALLY INSPIRED LOWER COURT JUDGE COULD REJECT AN EXTRADITION REQUEST AS ILLEGAL AND, BY DOING SO, PROVIDE THE DEFENSE WITH TIME TO ORGANIZE ITSELF WHILE AN APPEAL WAS BEING MOUNTED.

2. CASTILLO NOTED TWO ARTICLES OF THE BILL, IN ADDITION TO SOME OF THOSE OUTLINED IN REFTTEL, THAT ARE ESPECIALLY POINTED. ARTICLE 4 READS, "WHEN A STATE SOLICITS EXTRADITION OF AN  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 SAN JO 01006 132347Z

INDIVIDUAL WHO HAS BEEN OBJECT OF A PREVIOUS EXTRADITION

REQUEST, THE FOLLOWING NORMS WILL BE OBSERVED: CLAUSE (A) NEITHER DEEDS NOR CRIMES CONTEMPLATED IN PREVIOUS EXTRADITION ATTEMPTS NOR DEEDS RELATED OR CONNECTED TO THEM, CAN BE INVOKED BY THE SOLICITING STATE OR BY A THIRD STATE." ARTICLE 6, WHICH IS IN CASTILLO'S VIEW A CLEAR ATTEMPT TO KEEP EXTRADITION OUT OF THE COURTS, READS: "THE POWER OF REQUESTING, CONCEDED, OR DENYING OF EXTRADITION CORRESPONDS TO THE COURTS, BUT DECISIONS TAKEN WILL BE CONVEYED TO THE FOREIGN STATE BY THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH. HOWEVER, WHEN IN THE REQUESTING STATE THE DEFINITIVE DENIAL OF EXTRADITION IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH, THE SAME RESPONSIBILITY WILL FALL TO THE COSTA RICAN EXECUTIVE BRANCH."

3. THE EMBASSY IS RECEIVING SOME PRESS INQUIRIES. WE ARE RESPONDING THAT WE HAVE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO STUDY THE BILL AND, MOREOVER, AS THERE IS NO REQUEST FOR VESCO'S EXTRADITION EXISTING WE DO NOT KNOW HOW THE NEW BILL WOULD AFFECT ANY FUTURE CASE.  
THIGPEN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** EXTRADITION, LAW  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 13 MAR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** golinofr  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974SANJO001006  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** P740145-1003  
**From:** SAN JOSE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740345/aaaabpwl.tel  
**Line Count:** 80  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION SS  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** SAN JOSE 102  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** golinofr  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 11 SEP 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <11 SEP 2002 by WorrelSW>; APPROVED <03 JAN 2003 by golinofr>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** EMBASSY LEGAL ADVISOR'S VIEWS ON EXTRADITION BILL  
**TAGS:** PFOR, PGOV, CS, US, (CASTILLO, FRANCISCO), (VESCO, ROBERT)  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005